To: Skadowski, Suzanne[Skadowski.Suzanne@epa.gov]; Holsman,

Marianne[Holsman.Marianne@epa.gov]

From: Grantham, Nancy
Sent: Fri 5/12/2017 7:38:02 PM

Subject: RE: Bristol Bay webpage updates

Waiting for liz

Nancy Grantham

Office of Public Affairs

US Environmental Protection Agency

202-564-6879 (desk)

202-253-7056 (mobile)

From: Skadowski, Suzanne

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 3:36 PM

To: Grantham, Nancy < Grantham. Nancy@epa.gov>; Holsman, Marianne

<Holsman.Marianne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Bristol Bay webpage updates

When will we hear back on linking/posting the settlement? That's pretty much key to this webpage update.

The link to the Public Involvement page has this historic info:

Bristol Bay

Bristol Bay Home

Public Involvement

Frequently Asked Questions

Tribal Engagement

Bristol Bay Assessment

About Bristol Bay

Public Involvement for Bristol Bay 404c Process

On this page:

- Comment period (closes)
- Informational architects
- Public hearings
- Requests for special accommodations

Comment period (closed)

Public comments were accepted on our proposal to protect the Bristoi Bay watershed from July 21 to September 19, 2014.

 Read all public comments (links to Docket #EPA-R10-OW-2014-0505 on regulations gov)

Fact Sheet

• first land to the total

Related Information

• Explainment Statement

Informational webinars

EPA Region 10 hosted a public webinar to provide an overview of our proposal. The presentation was offered twice, on September 9-10, 2014.

Public hearings

Oral and written comments on EPA's proposal were accepted at public hearings held in Alaska from August 12-15, 2014. Hearings were held in Anchorage, New Stuyahok, Nondalton, Kokhanok, Dillingham, Illiamna, and Igiugig.

From: Grantham, Nancy

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:32 PM

To: Skadowski, Suzanne < Skadowski. Suzanne@epa.gov >; Holsman, Marianne

< Holsman. Marianne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Bristol Bay webpage updates

I think this looks fine -I would get it up .. and when we get the all clear on linking to the settlement -y ou can do that then.

What is at the public involvement link at this point?

Nancy Grantham

Office of Public Affairs

US Environmental Protection Agency

202-564-6879 (desk)

202-253-7056 (mobile)

From: Skadowski, Suzanne

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 2:35 PM

To: Grantham, Nancy < Grantham. Nancy@epa.gov >; Holsman, Marianne

<Holsman.Marianne@epa.gov>

Subject: Bristol Bay webpage updates

Importance: High

Nancy,

This is how the updated Bristol Bay page would look: new landing page banner and main text from press release on homepage, then links to the press release webpage and to another webpage with the settlement document. See 2 screenshots below and attached.

Bristol Bay

Dee Constan



Get email updates

Administration

Learn more

- Edda malacass
- .
- Bridge Sign Assessment
- Acceleration

EPA Agrees to Allow Permit Process to Proceed; Pebble Agrees to Drop Lawsuits

(May 12, 2017) - EPA has entered into a settlement agreement with the Pebble Limited Partnership to resolve litigation from 2014 relating to EPA's prior work in the Bristol Bay watershed in Alaska.

The settlement provides the Pebble an opportunity to apply for a Clean Water Act permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers before EPA may move forward with its Clean Water Act process to specify limits on the disposal of certain material in connection with the potential "Pebble More"

"We are committed to due process and the rule of law, and regulations that are "regular," said EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt. "We understand how much the community cares about this issue, with passionate advocates on all sides. The agreement will not guarantee or prejudge a particular outcome, but will provide Pebble a fair process for their permit application and help steer EPA away from costly and time-consuming litigation. We are committed to Estening to all voices as this process unfolds."

Bristol Bay Assessment


EPA's final assessment of the potential impacts of large-scale mining development on Bristol Bay fisheries and volidifie, and on Alaska hative cultures of the region.

- Laboration Model Contract

EPA's Proposed Determination

in 2014, EPA Region 10 initiated a process to protect one of the world's most valuable salmon fisheries, in Bristol Bay, Alaska, from the risks posed by a mine at the Petitis deposit.

- Proceedings of the Community of the Communit
- Year all public constructs on the graphy (boks to docket on regulations gos)



Watershed map

Bristol Bay photos

Bristol Bay

Bridge Bay Home

Public Inschanges

Process Acres

Total Engagement

Bristol Bay Assessment

About Bristol Bay

2017 Settlement Agreement Between EPA and Pebble Limited Partnership

On May 11, 2017, EPA entered into a settlement agreement with the Pabble Limited Partnership to resolve hit gation from 2014 relating to EPA's prior work in the Bristol Bay watershed in Alaska.

The settlement provides the Pebble Limited Partnership (Pebble) an opportunity to apply for a Clean Yester Act (CVIA) permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers before EPA may move forward with its CVIA process to specify limits on the disposal of certain material in connection with the potential "Pebble Nine."

Key Terms of the Settlement

"We are committed to due process and the rule of law, and regulations that are 'regular.' We understand how much the community cares about this issue, with passionate advocates on all sides. The agreement will not guarantee or prejudge a particular outcome, but will provide Pebble a fair process for their permit application and help steer EPA away from costly and time: consuming litigation. We are committed to listening to all voices as this process unfolds." -EPA Administrator Scott Prints

- Pabble and the U.S. Department of Justice
 (on behalf of the EPA) will ask the U.S. District Court for the District of Alacka to dismiss the cases with prejudice and to lift the court-ordered preliminary injunction.
- EPA agrees to commence a process to propose to withdraw the currently pending proposed determination, consistent with its regulations.
- EPA agrees that it will not move to the next step in its CVIA process, which would be to issue a
 recommended determination (determination steps are: proposed, recommended, final), until 48
 months from settlement or until the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers issues its final environmental
 impact statement, whichever comes first. To take advantage of this period of forbearance, Pebble
 would have to file its permit application within 30 months.
- Pebble will drop its law suits and requests for fees against EPA, and agree to file no new Freedom of Information Act (FOA) requests during the pendency of the "forbearance" period.
- EPA may use its scientific assessment regarding the Bristol Bay Watershed without limitation.

Background

in 2014, under the previous administration, EPA's Region 10 completed a multi-year watershed assessment in Bristol Bay, and then issued a CYIA Section 404(c) proposed determination, which described restrictions on large-scale mining in the watershed. Section 404 is the part of the CVIA that governs the permit evaluation process for actions that discharge diedged or fill material into a covered water.

The Hay 11, 2017 settlement does not guarantee or prejudge any particular outcome to this process, but does ensure that the process will be carried out in a fair, transparent, deliberate, and regular way

Suzanne Skadowski

Public Affairs Specialist

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 10 Pacific Northwest | Seattle

Desk: 206-553-2160 Cell: 206-900-3309











